



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,194	06/27/2001	Pradeep Kumar Subrahmanyan	1934.105US1 3918		
7590 04/27/2004			EXAMINER		
Derek J. Berger			CAO, ALLEN T		
Seagate Technology LLC Intellectual Property Dept. COL2LGL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
389 Disc Drive			2652		
Longmont, CO	80503		DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	/1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •	Application No.	Applicant/a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/893,194	SUBRAHMANYAN, PRADEEP KUMAR			
-	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allen T Cao	2652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment which 	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	·			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · 				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered and an w or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 16.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17-30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Marlin			

Allen Cao Primary Examiner Continuation of 2. NOTE: claim 1, lines 3, 5-6 and 10-11; claim 5, lines 3-4 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment will not be entered (see NOTE) and the final rejection stands.

Applicant asserts that changing the phrase "disc drive" to "information handling device" is not necessitated the new ground of the rejection. However, the Examiner respectfully points out that changing the phrase "disc drive" (which is reffered to a disc drive technology only) to "information handling device (broaden the scope of the claim which can be reffered to any device); therefore, the Examiner maitains that the amendment was necessitated the new gound of the rejection, thus the final Office Action is proper.